

Lecture 5:

(1)

Assume F field and $p(x) \in F[x]$.

Def: We define $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ congruent modulo $p(x)$ and write $f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{p(x)}$ if $p(x) \mid (f(x) - g(x))$.

Theorem:

- i) $f(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p(x)}$ for all $f(x) \in F[x]$ (reflexive)
- ii) $f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{p(x)} \Rightarrow g(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p(x)}$ (symmetric)
- iii) $\left. \begin{matrix} f(x) \equiv g(x) \pmod{p(x)} \\ g(x) \equiv h(x) \pmod{p(x)} \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow f(x) \equiv h(x) \pmod{p(x)}$ (transitive)

Proof: Copy proof for \mathbb{Z} . □

• We define the congruence class

$$[f(x)] = \{g(x) \in F[x] \mid g(x) \equiv f(x) \pmod{p(x)}\},$$

and the set of all congruence classes is written $F[x]/(p(x))$

(compare notation \mathbb{Z}_n). $F[x]/(p(x))$ constitute a partition of $F[x]$.

Ex: Consider $p(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ (\mathbb{Z}_2 is a field)

Take any $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. By polynomial division we get

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x), \quad \deg r(x) < \deg p(x) \text{ or } r(x) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [f(x)] + [g(x)] &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [f(x) + g(x)] \\ [f(x)] \cdot [g(x)] &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [f(x)g(x)] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

These operations are well-defined (see theorem in book).

Ex: • In $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^2+x+1)$:

$$x^2 \equiv x+1 \pmod{x^2+x+1} \text{ since } x^2 - (x+1) \equiv x^2+x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{x^2+x+1}$$

This means $[x] \cdot [x] = [x^2] = [x+1]$

• In $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^2)$:

We have $[x] \cdot [x] = [x^2] = [0] \quad (\neq [x+1])$

Ex: In $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3-2)$:

~~$(2x^2+4x)(x^2-\frac{1}{4}) = 2x^4+4x^3-\frac{1}{2}x^2-x$~~
 pol. div.
 \downarrow
 $= (2x+4)(x^3-2) + (-\frac{1}{2}x^2+3x+8)$

This means $[2x^2+4x] \cdot [x^2-\frac{1}{4}] = [-\frac{1}{2}x^2+3x+8]$

Easier: Since $x^3 \equiv 2$, ~~consider the note $x^3 \rightarrow 2$~~
 ~~$(-\frac{1}{2}x^2+3x+8)$ is related by 2 but we~~ we replace x^3 by 2 :

$$2x^4 + 4x^3 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - x \equiv 2x \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - x = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + 3x + 8$$

$\Rightarrow f(x) \equiv r(x) \pmod{p(x)}$ and it follows (as for \mathbb{Z}) that (2)

$$[f(x)] = [r(x)].$$

The only residues $r(x)$ modulo $p(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ are $0, 1, x$ and $x+1$,

so $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^2+x+1) = \{[0], [1], [x], [x+1]\}$

Note: The classes $[r(x)]$ are all different. If $[r_1(x)] = [r_2(x)]$ with $\deg r_1(x), r_2(x) < \deg p(x)$, then $r_1(x) \equiv r_2(x) \pmod{p(x)}$

$$\Rightarrow p(x) \mid (r_1(x) - r_2(x)) \Rightarrow r_1(x) = r_2(x)$$

$\deg < \deg p(x)$

Therefore, in general,

$$F[x]/(p(x)) = \{[r(x)] \mid \deg r(x) < \deg p(x) \text{ (or } r(x) = 0)\}$$

Ex: $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^2+x+1) = \{[0], [1], [x], [x+1]\} = \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^2)$

so different polynomials give same classes!

Ex: Assume $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_n[x]$, a prime, (Note: not written in the book) and $\deg p(x) = k$.

Then $\mathbb{Z}_n[x]/(p(x)) = \{[a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0]; a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$

has n^k elements.

We now want to turn $F[x]/(p(x))$ into a ring by introducing operations $+$ and \cdot :

Theorem: $F[x]/(p(x))$ is a commutative ring with identity. (4)

Proof: Exercise. $[0]$ is zero, $[1]$ is unity. □

Theorem: Let $\deg p(x) \geq 1$. Then $F[x]/(p(x))$ contains a subring F^* isomorphic to F .

Proof: (Idea: F^* corresponds to the elements $[a], a \in F$)

We define the mapping $\varphi: F \rightarrow F[x]/(p(x))$ by

$$\varphi(a) = [a], \quad a \in F. \text{ Now}$$

$$\varphi(a+b) = [a+b] = [a] + [b] = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$$

and $\varphi(ab) = [ab] = [a][b] = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$,

so φ homomorphism. Furthermore

$$\varphi \text{ is } \underline{\text{injective}} \text{ since } \varphi(a) = \varphi(b) \Leftrightarrow [a] = [b]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{p(x)} \Leftrightarrow p(x) \mid a-b \Leftrightarrow a=b,$$

since $\deg p(x) \geq 1$ but $a-b \in F$ (degree 0),

~~Since φ homom., it follows that~~ Since φ homom., it follows that $F^* = \varphi(F)$ subring and that $\varphi: F \rightarrow F^*$ isomorphism. □

Note: We will identify F^* with F and say that $F[x]/(p(x))$ contains F .

The following theorem is analogous to the one for the case \mathbb{Z}_p , p prime: (5)

Theorem: The following are equivalent (degrees)

- ① $p(x)$ irreducible
- ② $F[x]/(p(x))$ is a field
- ③ $F[x]/(p(x))$ is an integral domain

Proof: ① \Rightarrow ②: We want to show that every $[a(x)] \neq [0]$ has an inverse: $[a(x)] \neq [0] \Rightarrow p(x) \nmid a(x)$

$$\Rightarrow (a(x), p(x)) = 1 \Rightarrow a(x)u(x) + p(x)v(x) = 1 \text{ for some } u(x), v(x) \Rightarrow 1 \equiv a(x)u(x) \pmod{p(x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow [a(x)] \cdot [u(x)] = [1] \Rightarrow [a(x)]^{-1} = [u(x)].$$

② \Rightarrow ③: True since every field is an int. domain (earlier theorem)

③ \Rightarrow ①: Assume the contrary, i.e. that

$$p(x) = r(x)s(x) \text{ with } \deg r(x) \geq 1 \text{ and } \deg s(x) \geq 1.$$

This means $p(x) \nmid r(x)$ and $p(x) \nmid s(x)$, and thus that $[r(x)], [s(x)] \neq [0]$. Now

$$[r(x)] \cdot [s(x)] = [r(x)s(x)] = [p(x)] = [0],$$

and since we have zero-divisors it cannot be an integral domain. Contradiction! \square

extension field of \mathbb{Z}_5 . Now consider $p(x)$ as a polynomial with coeff. in \mathbb{K} . Then it follows that $[x] \in \mathbb{K}$ is a root of $p(x)$: (7)

$$p([x]) = [x]^3 + 4[x] + 2 = [x^3 + 4x + 2] = [0]$$

This is a general property:

Theorem: F field, $p(x)$ irreducible in $F[x]$.

Then $F[x]/(p(x)) = \mathbb{K}$ is an extension field of F containing a root of $p(x)$.

Proof: $[x]$ is a root of $p(x)$, since

$$p([x]) = [p(x)] = [0] \text{ (compare note above). } \square$$

Corollary: If $f(x) \in F[x]$, $\deg f(x) \geq 1$, then there exists an extension field \mathbb{K} of F containing a root of $f(x)$.

Proof: Let $p(x)$ be an irreducible factor of $f(x)$

$$\text{and construct } \mathbb{K} = F[x]/(p(x)).$$

Since $f(x) = p(x)g(x)$, we get

$$f([x]) = p([x])g([x]) = [0] \cdot g([x]) = [0]. \quad \square$$

by proof above

Ex: $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ (has no roots in \mathbb{R})

Ex: We notice that $p(x) = x^3 + 4x + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ is irreducible (6)

(none of the elements 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 is a root. check!), so by theorem it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]/(p(x))$ is a field.

Find the inverse of $[x^2 + 3x + 1]$:

We solve the equation

$$u(x)(x^2 + 3x + 1) + v(x)(x^3 + 4x + 2) = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$$

by Euclid's alg. "backwards":

$$x^3 + 4x + 2 = (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x + 2) + 2x$$

$$x^2 + 3x + 1 = (3x + 4) \cdot 2x + 1 \longleftarrow \text{GCD}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (x^2 + 3x + 1) - (3x + 4)2x = \\ &= (x^2 + 3x + 1) - (3x + 4)((x^3 + 4x + 2) - (x^2 + 3x + 1)(x + 2)) = \\ &= (1 + (x + 2)(3x + 4))(x^2 + 3x + 1) - (3x + 4)(x^3 + 4x + 2) = \\ &= \underbrace{(3x^2 + 4)}_{=u(x)}(x^2 + 3x + 1) - \underbrace{(3x + 4)}_{=v(x)}(x^3 + 4x + 2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We get } [3x^2 + 4] \cdot [x^2 + 3x + 1] = [1]$$

$$\text{and that } [x^2 + 3x + 1]^{-1} = [3x^2 + 4]$$

Exercise: Check that $[3x^2 + 4] = [x^2 + 3x + 1]^{-1} = [1]$

Note: \mathbb{Z}_5 in the above example is a subfield of the field $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]/(p(x)) = \mathbb{K}$, so \mathbb{K} is an

We want to extend \mathbb{R} to a field where we can solve $x^2 + 1 = 0$. (8)

By theorem above $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1)$ works!

What is \mathbb{K} ? We note that the elements of \mathbb{K} are $[a + bx]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, since $p(x)$ has degree 2.

Furthermore

$$[a + bx] + [c + dx] = [(a + c) + (b + d)x]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } [a + bx] \cdot [c + dx] &= [(a + bx)(c + dx)] = \\ &= [ac + (ad + bc)x + bdx^2] \stackrel{x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{x^2 + 1}}{=} \\ &= [(ac - bd) + (ad + bc)x] \end{aligned}$$

Looks similar to \mathbb{C} . In fact $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{C}$ via the isomorphism $\varphi([a + bx]) = a + bi$.